TERPENOID CONSTITUENTS OF THREE TAXA OF MONARDELLA

BARRY D. TANOWITZ, DALE M. SMITH and STEVEN A. JUNAK*

Department of Biological Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, U.S.A.; *1212 Mission Canyon Road, Santa Barbara Botanic Garden, Santa Barbara, CA 93105, U.S.A.

(Received 27 November 1986)

Key Word Index—Monardella crispa, M. undulata var. undulata; M. undulata var. frutescens; Lamiaceae; chemotaxonomy; monoterpenoids; sesequiterpenoids.

Abstract—Essential oils from the leaves of three closely related taxa of Monardella (M. crispa, M. undulata var. undulata, M. undulata var. frutescens) were analysed by GC and GC-MS. All three taxa showed highly similar qualitative chromatographic profiles. Monardella crispa and M. undulata var. frutescens apparently show closer affinities qualitatively to each other than either taxon did to M. undulata var. undulata. The chemical data suggest, however, in addition to morphological and ecogeographical data, that M. undulata var. frutescens may represent a stabilized hybrid derivative between M. crispa and M. undulata var. undulata.

INTRODUCTION

Monardella Bentham comprises a highly variable and taxonomically problematic assemblage of approximately 25 species found in western North America [1, 2]. Relatively little taxonomic work has been done in the genus and this has been confined principally to morphological and ecogeographical studies [3]. Several studies of the terpenoid constituents of various genera of the Lamiaceae have proven to be valuable in understanding taxonomic and evolutionary relationships within these groups [4–7]. We have initiated studies of the terpenoid constituents in three closely related taxa of Monardella to aid in the eventual delineation of their taxonomic position.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Twenty-eight mono- and sesqui-terpenoid compounds were isolated and identified from the steam distillate of three closely related taxa, Monardella crispa Elmer, M. undulata Bentham var. undulata, and M. undulata var. frutescens Hoover. The three taxa displayed highly similar chromatographic profiles (Table 1). Monardella crispa had two major monoterpenoid components, piperitenone and pulegone, whereas M. undulata var. undulata and var. frutescens had a single major monoterpenoid component, pulegone. However, var. undulata had two major sesquiterpenoids, a caryophyllene isomer and trans-β-farnesene, that were found to be minor constituents in the other taxa. Of further interest is the occurrence of piperitenone, α phellandrene, an unidentified monoterpenoid alcohol, and β -caryophyllene in var. frutescens and M. crispa that were lacking in var. undulata. Nine of the 28 compounds investigated showed intermediate values for var. frutescens in comparison to the other two taxa.

The occurrence of δ -3-carene is unusual for the family, but has been found in *Lepichinia calycina* [8] and *Monardella hypoleuca* [9]. The pinenes and other low-

boiling constituents are major components of some genera of mints [4, 7], but are relatively minor components in these taxa. Piperitenone is relatively rare is most lamiates in which a number of populations of each species have been examined [10] and, thus, the large percentage in *M. crispa* may be taxonomically useful.

The taxonomic and nomenclatural status of these three taxa are in flux at present (D. Smith, unpublished results). Monardella crispa and M. undulata var. frutescens share a similar habit (herbaceous perennials), contiguous distribution, and ecological preferences. Monardella undulata var. undulata is an annual, and is found more inland and in more xeric situations than the other two taxa. The terpenoid chromatographic profiles suggest that these three taxa are closely related. However, the apparent differences in qualitative terpenoid composition between the varieties of M. undulata, in combination with morphological and ecogeographical differences, suggest that these taxa are artificially allied. Observations from morphological data suggest further that M. undulata var. frutescens may be a stabilized diploid hybrid. A taxonomic judgement is reserved at present until a broader chemosystematic survey is completed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Three separate isolation techniques were performed [9]. 25 g of air-dried stems and leaves each of M. crispa, M. undulata var. undulata, and M. undulata var. frutescens (collected by DMS and Dr D. L. Koehler, vouchers at UCSB) were water-distilled using a modified Clevenger apparatus. A 3.0 µl injection (three replicate injections) was placed in an HP 5831A GLC equipped with a 1.8 m × 4 mm glass column packed with either 3 % SE-30 or 3 % OV-17 and dual FID. Chromatographic conditions were: inj temp 280°, detector temp 300°, and an initial oven temp of 100°. Program conditions were: 1.0 min hold, 10° min, to a final temp of 270° and a final hold of 5.0 min. Integrator parameters were attenuation 8, slope sensitivity 1.0, He carrier gas flow rate was

Table 1. Composition of essential oil of M. crispa, M. undulata var. undulata and M. undulata var. frutescens

	Composition (% total oil)		
Compound*	M. crispa	M. undulata var. frutescens	M. undulata var. undulata
α-Pinene	2.7	1.4	0.2
β-Pinene	0.5	0.6	0.7
Camphene	1.1	0.4	tr
Myrcene	1.0	0.5	0.2
α-Phellandrene	0.1	tr†	
β -Phellandrene	0.2		tr
α-Thujene	tr	tr	tr
δ-3-Carene	0.3	1.3	1.5
γ-Terpinene	_	tr	
Limonene	tr	tr	tr
β-Caryophyllene	0.4	1.6	
Caryophyllene isomer	0.2	1.7	23.4
trans-β-Farnesene	1.3	2.2	15.4
Linalool	0.2	tr	0.2
1,8-Cineole	tr	tr	tr
Unknown alcohol	1.0	1.7	
Citronellal	0.5		_
Isoborneol	2.0	tr	0.5
Piperitenone	29.6	4.8	_
α-Terpineol	1.0	1.2	1.8
Thymol	_	_	0.3
Carveol		tr	
Pulegone	57.0	70.5	54.5
Piperitone	0.6	tr	1.0
Carvacrol	tr	tr	_
Dihydrocarveol	tr	tr	tr
4-Terpineol	tr	tr	
Caryophyllene oxide	_	tr	_

^{*}Compounds identified by GC-MS or GC(1,8-cineole, thymol, piperitone, carvacrol, 4-terpineol).

27 ml/min. Mass spectrometry was performed on an HP 5992A GC-MS equipped with a 12 m fused silica WCOT capillary column coated with methyl silicon and interfaced with an HP 9825 data system.

Acknowledgements—We thank Dr Robert Petty (Marine Sciences Institute Analytical Laboratory UCSB) for technical assistance and the Santa Barbara Botanic Garden for financial assistance and an anonymous reviewer for excellent comments. Mr P. Salopek provided laboratory assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Epling, C. (1925) Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 12, 1.
- Munz, P. A. (1959) A California Flora p. 710. University of California Press, Berkeley.

- Hardham, C. (1963) in A California Flora. (Munz, P. A. and D. D. Keck, eds) supplement, p. 104. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- 4. Emboden, W. A. and Lewis, H. (1967) Brittonia 19, 152.
- Irving, R. S. (1969) XI International Botanical Congress (abstract) p. 260. Seattle, Washington.
- Firmage, D. H. and Irving, R. S. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1827.
- 7. Tetenyi, P. (1979) Herba Pol. 25, 229.
- Lawrence, B. M. and Morton, J. K. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1887
- Tanowitz, B. D., Smith, D. M. and Junak, S. (1984) J. Nat. Prod. 47, 738.
- 10. Scora, R. (1967) Am. J. Botany 54, 446.
- Guenther, E. (1948) The Essential Oils Vol. 3. R. E. Krieger, Huntington, New York.

 $[\]dagger$ tr = trace component (< 0.1 %).